

Peak District National Park

There is no opposition to what we are proposing, but:

1. There are those who took up a query from our advertising leaflets and thought that there should be scope for protecting VIEWS into the Park and from the Park into Dore – we need to consider this (which comes up again in relation to Long Line)
2. There is some support for action/project funding for more benches on Newfield Lane to admire the view
3. There is concern about damage to land and footpaths in the Park from mechanical vehicles where we could signal support for the action being taken by PDNPA to tackle the problem
4. I assume there is little we can say about fracking – covered by national policy

Green Belt

There is widespread support for the backing our texts give to protection of the Green Belt against development which should give us great heart, but:

1. There is also support for the developing policy on Long Line which currently is the subject of a specific consultation with Long Line residents
2. There is further evidence here of a desire for policy protection of views in and out of the Park
3. Comment no 37 echoes other comments about garden encroachment behind Busheywood Road

Housing Areas

There is a mixed response here with some clear support for not increasing housing densities, but presumably acceptance of the fact that Dore nonetheless already offers a significant range of densities across the different areas, but:

1. There were strong views about the need for more smaller properties for downsizing (and bear in mind the high average age in Dore) and more affordable (and social) housing for young families and single people
2. Some felt that significant developments should be forced to have mixed housing rather than a monopoly of executive homes
3. One commentator (no 51) felt that we should be more understanding of the need for more housing and that we should carefully plan for it
4. And a personal view from me – I'm really keen to see the pen portraits of the different housing areas – what defines them as special and in need of character preservation

Neighbourhood Centre

There is strong support for a vibrant village centre with a good range of retail shops, restaurants/cafes and services like doctors, hairdressing, chiropodists, opticians and dentists and against turning retail premises into residential properties and a reminder that Dore has an elderly population, but:

1. Village shops won't survive unless used!
2. All day parkers should not obstruct casual short-term customer parking – so general in principle support for parking restrictions
3. Moderate support for some pedestrianisation and some seating, but not a major ask. In the A/P category
4. Some support for a further restaurant in village
5. Modest support for pedestrian crossings and low speed limits
6. One advocate for weekend market and one for a late night opening once a week
7. One advocate for some retirement flats near the village centre

Open Spaces

There is considerable support for the protection of existing open-spaces from housing and other inappropriate development and for their designation, but:

1. Strong feeling that they should have management plans and better maintenance, eg. better drainage for Recreation Ground
2. That more effort might be made to have organised activities that use the spaces more productively, eg. occasional farmers' markets on the village green; more and better children's play equipment where appropriate
3. Consider turning part of Recreation Ground into a wildlife reserve
4. Real concern that property owners have claimed parts of Bushey Wood for their own garden use
5. Suggestion that we need to rethink location for allotments
6. Several suggestions for more open spaces (comments 124 to 127)

Conservation

There is strong support for the general drift of the policies here, including increasing control over minor changes within the existing central Conservation Area, creating a new Conservation Area at the lower end of Dore Road (which is to be the subject of a specific consultation – and has this started?), and protecting archaeological remains, but:

1. Some limited opposition to the new CA proposed for Dore Road

Sustainable Transport

There is widespread support for action to calm traffic and introduce improved parking restrictions in the village centre (but a lack of agreement on the latter action), for a better-connected and revised bus service, and for an increased Dore Totley Station Car-park coupled with parking restrictions on lower Dore Road, but:

1. In addition to the village centre, there is support for traffic calming on Long Line and to a certain extent on Dore Road and Savage Lane
2. There is a ferment of suggestions for how parking restrictions might be applied in the village centre which require careful further analysis by both this WG and the village centre WG (but note that this has some alternative outlet in the current SCC public consultation exercise)
3. There are some real fears about the impact of central parking restrictions on parking on nearby residential streets
4. There are specific suggestions for improved bus services – many of which support our main proposals – but which include better linkage with the railway station by shuttle buses