

Dore Neighbourhood Forum

Steering Group meeting 7th November 2018

Present: Christopher Pennell (CP), David Bearpark (DRB) and Jen Donnelly (JD).

Apologies

1. Apologies were received from David Crosby (DC), Pat Ryan (PR) & Keith Shaw (KS).

Minutes of the meeting held on 17th October 2018.

2. The minutes were agreed as a correct record.

Matters arising on the Minutes.

3. With regard to minute 21, it was noted that on 21st October CP had written to both Rob Murfin (RM) and Adele at the PDPNA to advise them that we did not intend to submit our DNP before 24th January 2019. Adele had acknowledged this but there had still not been a reply from RM; and nor had RM responded further to DC following their meeting on 21st September.

4. Referring to minute 6, JD reported that Ruth has been on holiday. She returns today and **JD will contact her.**

5. With reference to minute 10, CP queried whether, even if our Policies and underlying principles didn't change, the views of others may change as a result of the new NPPF. But it was suggested that it was only the SCC who would be viewing our DNP in the context of the NPPF and Sheffield's own Local Plan; others consulted commented on the context of our DNP with their own policies.

6. All other matters arising were covered by individual agenda items.

New NPPF paragraphs.

7. The document from DC listing the paragraphs of the new NPPF that were relevant to be quoted in our DNP, together with the further document from CP reviewing this, was discussed. It was agreed that what was needed was for these two documents to be reviewed and a combined version produced. **CP will meet with DC to do this.**

8. Also it was agreed that the three key tests that should be applied as to which NPPF paragraphs should be incorporated within the DNP were those set out in CP's email of 28th October.

Amending the September version of the DNP.

9. It was stressed that, as set out in the minutes of the last SG meeting, it was critical that there was a definitive finalised version of the DNP as it was prior to making any changes to reflect the decision to delay submission and therefore incorporate the new NPPF and reflect changes as a consequence of the awaited SCC Reg18 document. Although DC had circulated another version of the DNP immediately after the last SG, there was concern that this was not fully updated as the old DNP for archiving. For instance, it has not reflected the decisions at the last SG with regard to the number of Local Green Spaces to be proposed in the Plan. **CP will pursue this with DC.**

10. The version of the DNP which we would now be working on should be termed DNP2, and described as the November version. **It was agreed** that for this version we would be seeking to incorporate the relevant paragraphs of the new NPPF and amending the section of our own text that referred to NPPF paragraphs.

11. **It was also agreed** that the next version should be altered to reflect the impact of new public evidence Reports — see CP's email dated 29th October.

12. However, we would not at this stage make any changes related to SCC issues. There was no point in doing this until SCC had produced their Reg18 document.

13. When the SCC document is produced we expected to have significant work to assimilate and assess the document and the expected supporting documents. Once we had done this, it was expected that we would need to have a Forum meeting to support the approach we intended to take: following which we would need formally to respond to the SCC within the consultation period.
14. Some concern was expressed as to how we should address the narrative in the grey shaded sections in our DNP. This is because these sections currently refer to the relevant areas of the most recent formal SCC proposals, as set out in "Citywide Options for Growth". Although when the Reg18 document is published this points towards the latest thinking of the SCC, nevertheless it is still only a document for consultation, whereas the Citywide Options document has been consulted upon. Certainly we should take account of the *evidence* presented by SCC as underlying their Reg18 document but it is not clear whether we should relate to the Policies in that document which, if subsequently formally adopted, will not become formal Policies until that process is completed, which could very well be more than a year after first publication. We will need to establish exactly what will qualify as "emerging evidence/policy" with which the DNP must generally conform. We need to consider this further when the SCC Reg18 document is published; and **also, DC may be able to give further guidance** on this.

Schedule of comments on the P-SC.

15. It was not clear that this was yet fully finalised. It was agreed that this was a question for **PR and that he should be asked to address this** issue at the next SG meeting.
16. Also it was queried whether we really needed all the P-SC comments recorded verbatim. It was recalled that at a previous meeting this had thought to be the best approach, so that people could not say that their comments had been erroneously summarised. However, in terms of our own responses, surely these could be slimmed down significantly. Again, **a point for PR to consider, with CP.**

Consultation Statement.

17. CP expressed concern at how extensive this was, certainly compared with others that he had seen. However, it was agreed that it was important that we did record and show all consultations; and it is only a supporting document.

Workload Priorities.

18. The document circulated by CP (dated 03/11/18) was considered. It was noted that a number of these points had been covered during the meeting; and at this stage the document could only be an outline of what we would have to address when SCC produced their Reg18 document. Nevertheless, it was a good précis of what would be needed and emphasised the scale of the work we would face.
19. With regard to the second bullet point of item 5, there was uncertainty as to whether this meeting could now take place, as a result of the submission of planning application for Long Line by ADAS. **CP will seek DC's opinion on this before** possibly approaching Sarah.

Future Meetings.

20. It was confirmed that the previously agreed dates for future SG meetings would take place as planned i.e. 28th November, 12th December and 9th January 2019.

David Bearpark
8th November 2018